

Exhibit 2

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

New York 55 Broadway
10th Floor
New York, NY 10006
Toll Free 877-363-5972
T. 212-363-7500
F. 212-363-7171

Washington, D.C. 1101 30th Street NW
Suite 115
Washington, D.C. 20007
T. 202-524-4290
F. 202-333-2121

Connecticut 1111 Summer Street
Suite 401-403
Stamford, CT 06905
T. 203-992-4523

California *Los Angeles*
445 South Figueroa Street
31st Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
T. 213-985-7290

San Francisco
388 Market Street
Suite 1300
San Francisco, CA 94111
T. 415-373-1671
F. 415-484-1294

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

ABOUT THE FIRM

Levi & Korsinsky, LLP is a national law firm with decades of combined experience litigating complex securities, class, and consumer actions in state and federal courts throughout the country. Our main office is located in New York City and we also maintain offices in Connecticut, California, and Washington, D.C.

We represent the interests of aggrieved shareholders in class action and derivative litigation through the vigorous prosecution of corporations that have committed securities fraud and boards of directors who have breached their fiduciary duties. We have served as Lead and Co-Lead Counsel in many precedent-setting litigations, recovered millions of dollars for shareholders via securities fraud lawsuits, and obtained fair value, multi-billion dollar settlements in merger transactions.

We also represent clients in high-stakes consumer class actions against some of the largest corporations in America. Our legal team has a long and successful track record of litigating high-stakes, resource-intensive cases and consistently achieving results for our clients.

Our attorneys are highly skilled and experienced in the field of securities class action litigation. They bring a vast breadth of knowledge and skill to the table and, as a result, are frequently appointed Lead Counsel in complex shareholder and consumer litigations in various jurisdictions. We are able to allocate substantial resources to each case, reviewing public documents, interviewing witnesses, and consulting with experts concerning issues particular to each case. Our attorneys are supported by exceptionally qualified professionals including financial experts, investigators, and administrative staff, as well as cutting-edge technology and e-discovery systems. Consequently, we are able to quickly mobilize and produce excellent litigation results. Our ability to try cases, and win them, results in substantially better recoveries than our peers.

We do not shy away from uphill battles – indeed, we routinely take on complex and challenging cases, and we prosecute them with integrity, determination, and professionalism.

“...a model for how [the] great legal profession should conduct itself.”

Justice Timothy S. Driscoll in *Grossman v. State Bancorp, Inc.*,
Index No. 600469/2011 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. Nassau Cnty. Nov. 29, 2011)

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

PRACTICE AREAS

Securities Fraud Class Actions

According to Lex Machina's second annual Securities Litigation Report, Levi & Korsinsky was named the Top Securities Firm for the period of January 2017 and June 30, 2018, with 266 lawsuits filed during that period. Law360.com dubbed the Firm one of the "busiest securities firms" in what is "on track to be one of the busiest [years] for federal securities litigation." Our firm has been appointed Lead Counsel in a significant number of class actions filed in both federal and state courts across the country.

In ***In re Tesla Inc. Securities Litigation***, 18-cv-04865-EMC (N.D. Cal), the firm is sole Lead Counsel representing the class of Tesla investors who were injured as a result of Elon Musk's "funding secured" tweet of August 7, 2018. The case has survived defendants' motion to dismiss and is now in discovery. It is set for trial in March 2022. Damages are estimated as exceeding \$2 billion.

In ***In re U.S. Steel Consolidated Cases***, 17-559-CB (W.D. Pa.) the firm is sole Lead Counsel representing U.S. Steel investors who were harmed by U.S. Steel's misrepresentations regarding the maintenance of its manufacturing facilities. Defendants' motion to dismiss has been denied and the class of investors certified by the District Court. The class action case is now in discovery. Damages are estimated as exceeding \$1 billion.

In ***Ford v. TD Ameritrade Holding Corporation***, 14-cv-396 (D. Neb.), the Firm was appointed Lead Counsel representing customers harmed by securities fraud scheme that has netted TD Ameritrade well over a billion dollars at their expense since the beginning of the class period at the cost of the execution quality of their orders. After defeating a motion to dismiss, we achieved certification of the class using cutting edge data analysis techniques to precisely measure damages incurred by the millions of class members.

In ***Rougier v. Applied Optoelectronics, Inc.***, 17-cv-2399 (S.D. Tex.) the Firm is sole Lead Counsel and has prevailed on a Motion to Dismiss and Motion for Class Certification. The class action case is well into discovery and estimated damages exceed \$1 billion.

In ***In re Avon Products Inc. Securities Litigation***, 1:19-cv-01420-MKV (S.D.N.Y.) the Firm is Lead Counsel and prevailed on a motion to dismiss. The class action case is well into discovery spanning Europe and Latin America.

We have been appointed Lead or Co-Lead Counsel in the following securities class actions:

- ***In re eHealth Inc. Sec. Litig.***, 20-cv-02395-JST (N.D.Cal. Jun. 24, 2020)
- ***Mehdi v. Karyopharm Therapeutics Inc.***, 19-cv-11972-NMG (D. Mass. Apr. 29, 2020)
- ***Brown v. Opera Ltd.***, 20-cv-00674-JGK (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 17, 2020)
- ***In re Dropbox Sec. Litig.***, 19-cv—06348-BLF (N.D.Cal. Jan. 16, 2020)
- ***Chen v. Yunji Inc.***, 19-cv-6403-LDH-SMG (E.D.N.Y. Feb. 3, 2020)
- ***Zhang v. Valaris plc***, 19-cv-7816-NRB (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 23, 2019)
- ***In re Sundial Growers Inc. Sec. Litig.***, 19-cv-08913-ALC (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 20, 2019)
- ***Costanzo v. DXC Technology Co.***, 19-cv-05794-BLF (N.D.Cal. Nov. 20, 2019)
- ***Ferraro Family Foundation, Inc. et al., v. Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated***, 19-cv-1372-LHK (N.D.Cal. Oct. 7, 2019)
- ***Roberts v. Bloom Energy Corp.***, 19-cv-02935-HSG (N.D.Cal. Sep. 3, 2019)
- ***Scheller v. Nutanix Inc.***, 19-cv-01651-WHO (N.D. Cal. Jul. 10, 2019)

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

-
- **Luo v. Sogou Inc.**, 1:19-cv-00230-JPO (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 2, 2019)
 - **Jakobsen v. Aphria Inc.**, 18-cv-11376-GBD (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 27, 2019)
 - **Chew v. MoneyGram International, Inc.**, 1:18-cv-07537 (E.D. Ill. Feb. 12, 2019)
 - **Johnson v. Costco Wholesale Corp.**, 18-cv-01611-TSZ (W.D.Wash. Jan. 30, 2019)
 - **Tung v. Dycem Industries, Inc.**, 9:18-cv-81448-RLR (S.D. Fla. Jan. 11, 2019)
 - **Guyer v. MGT Capital Investments, Inc.**, 1:18-cv-09228-LAP (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 9, 2019)
 - **In re Adient plc Sec. Litig.**, 1:18-CV-09116 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 21, 2018)
 - **Church VI v. Glencore PLC**, 18-cv-11477 (SDW)(CLW) (D.N.J. Dec. 12, 2018)
 - **In re Tesla Inc. Sec. Litig.**, 3:18-cv-04865-EMC (N.D. Cal. Nov. 27, 2018)
 - **In re Helios and Matheson Analytics, Inc. Sec. Litig.**, 1:18-cv-06965-JGK (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 16, 2018)
 - **In re Prothena Corp. plc Sec. Litig.**, 1:18-cv-06425 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 31, 2018)
 - **Pierrelouis v. Gogo Inc.**, 18-cv-04473 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 10, 2018)
 - **Balestra v. Cloud With Me Ltd.**, 2:18-cv-00804-LPL (W.D. Pa. Oct. 18, 2018)
 - **Pierrelouis v. Gogo Inc.**, 1:18-cv-04473 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 10, 2018)
 - **In re Restoration Robotics, Inc. Sec. Litig.**, 5:18-cv-03712-EJD (N.D. Cal. Oct. 2, 2018)
 - **Richmond v. Mercury Systems, Inc.**, 1:18-cv-11434-IT (D. Mass. Sept. 27, 2018)
 - **Balestra v. Giga Watt, Inc.**, 2:18-cv-00103-SMJ (E.D. Wash. June 28, 2018)
 - **Chandler v. Ulta Beauty, Inc.**, 1:18-cv-01577 (N.D. Ill. June 26, 2018)
 - **In re Longfin Corp. Sec. Litig.**, 1:18-cv-2933 (S.D.N.Y. June 25, 2018)
 - **Chahal v. Credit Suisse Group AG**, 1:18-cv-02268-AT (S.D.N.Y. June 21, 2018)
 - **In re Bitconnect Sec. Litig.**, 9:18-cv-80086-DMM (S.D. Fla. June 19, 2018)
 - **In re Aqua Metals Sec. Litig.**, 4:17-cv-07142-HSG (N.D. Cal. May 23, 2018)
 - **Davy v. Paragon Coin, Inc.**, 4:18-cv-00671-JSW (N.D. Cal. May 10, 2018)
 - **Rensel v. Centra Tech, Inc.**, 17-cv-24500-JLK (S.D. Fla. Apr. 11, 2018)
 - **Cullinan v. Cemtrex, Inc.** 2:17-cv-01067 (E.D.N.Y. Mar. 3, 2018)
 - **Emerson v. Genocoe Biosciences, Inc.**, 1:17-cv-12137 (D. Mass. Feb. 2, 2018)
 - **In re Navient Corporation Sec. Litig.**, 1:17-cv-08373-RBK-AMD (D.N.J. Feb. 2, 2018)
 - **Abouzed v. Applied Optoelectronics, Inc.**, 4:17-cv-2399 (S.D. Tex. Jan. 22, 2018)
 - **Huang v. Depomed, Inc.**, 3:17-cv-04830-JST (N.D. Cal. Dec. 8, 2017)
 - **In re Regulus Therapeutics Inc. Sec. Litig.**, 3:17-cv-00182-BTM-RBB (D. Mass. Oct. 26, 2017)
 - **Murphy III v. JBS S.A.**, 1:17-cv-03084-ILG-RER (E.D.N.Y. Oct. 10, 2017)
 - **Goldsmith v. Weibo Corporation**, 2:17-cv-04728-SRC-CLW (D.N.J. Sept. 28, 2017)
 - **In re U.S. Steel Consolidated Cases**, Civil Action No. 17-559-CB (W.D. Pa. Aug. 16, 2017)
 - **Hinshaw v. Neurotrope, Inc.**, 1:17-cv-03718-LGS (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 10, 2017)
 - **Ohren v. Amyris, Inc.**, 3:17-cv-002210-WHO (N.D. Cal. Aug. 8, 2017)
 - **Beezley v. Fenix Parts, Inc.**, 2:17-cv-00233 (D.N.J. June 28, 2017)
 - **M & M Hart Living Trust v. Global Eagle Entertainment, Inc.**, 2:17-cv-01479 (C.D. Cal. June 26, 2017)
 - **Maurer v. Argos Therapeutics, Inc.**, 1:17-cv-00216 (M.D.N.C. June 23, 2017)
-

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

- **Ruedelstei v. U.S. Concrete, Inc.**, 4:17-cv-266 (N.D. Tex. June 22, 2017)
- **In re Aratana Therapeutics, Inc. Sec. Litig.**, 1:17-cv-880 (S.D.N.Y. June 6, 2017)
- **In re Insys Therapeutics, Inc.**, 1:17-cv-1954 (S.D.N.Y. May 31, 2017)
- **Clevlen v. Anthera Pharmaceuticals, Inc.**, 3:17-cv-00715 (N.D. Cal. May 18, 2017)
- **In re Agile Therapeutics, Inc. Sec. Litig.**, 3:17-cv-00119-AET-LHG (D.N.J. May 15, 2017)
- **Roper v. SITO Mobile Ltd.**, 2:17-cv-01106-ES-MAH (D.N.J. May 8, 2017)
- **In re Illumina, Inc. Sec. Litig.**, 3:16-cv-03044-L-KSC (S.D. Cal. Mar. 30, 2017)
- **Michael Gregory v ProNAi**, 1:16-cv-08703-PAE (Mass. Sup. Ct. Feb. 1, 2017)
- **In re PTC Therapeutics, Inc.**, 2:16-cv-01224-KM-MAH (D.N.J. Nov. 14, 2016)
- **Wilbush v. Ambac Financial Group, Inc.**, Civ. No. 1:16-cv-05076 RMB (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 11, 2016)
- **The TransEnterix Investor Group v. TransEnterix, Inc.**, 5:16-cv-00313-D (E.D.N.C. Aug. 30, 2016)
- **Gormley v. magicJack VocalTec Ltd.**, 1:16-cv-01869-VM (S.D.N.Y. July 12, 2016)
- **Azar v. Blount Int'l Inc.**, Civ. No. 3:16-cv-00483-SI (D. Or. July 1, 2016)
- **Plumley v. Sempra Energy**, 3:16-cv-00512-BEN-RBB (S.D. Cal. June 6, 2016)
- **Francisco v. Abengoa, S.A.**, 1:15-cv-06279-ER (S.D.N.Y. May 24, 2016)
- **Harrington v. Tetrphase Pharmaceuticals, Inc.**, Civ. No. 1:16-cv-10133-LTS (D. Mass. May 13, 2016)
- **De Vito v. Liquid Holdings Group, Inc.**, 2:15-cv-06969-KM-JBC (D.N.J. Apr. 7, 2016)
- **In re OvaScience Inc. Stockholder Litig.**, C.A. No. 15-3087-BLS2 (Mass. Super. Ct. Apr. 2, 2016)
- **Ford v. Natural Health Trends Corp.**, 2:16-cv-00255-TJH-AFM (C.D. Cal. Mar. 29, 2016)
- **Levin v. Resource Capital Corp.**, 1:15-cv-07081-LLS (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 24, 2015)
- **Martin v. Altisource Residential Corp.**, 1:15-cv-00024 (D.V.I. Oct. 7, 2015)
- **Paggos v. Resonant, Inc.**, 2:15-cv-01970 SJO (VBKx) (C.D. Cal. Aug. 7, 2015)
- **Fragala v. 500.com Ltd.**, 2:15-cv-01463-MMM (C.D. Cal. July 7, 2015)
- **Stevens v. Quiksilver Inc.**, 8:15-cv-00516-JVS-JCGx. (C.D. Cal. June 26, 2015)
- **In re Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. Sec. Litig.**, 14-3799 (FLW) (LHG) (D.N.J. Mar. 17, 2015)
- **In re Energy Recovery Inc. Sec. Litig.**, 3:15-cv-00265 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 20, 2015)
- **Klein v. TD Ameritrade Holding Corp.**, 3:14-cv-05738 (D. Neb. Dec. 2, 2014)
- **In re China Commercial Credit Sec. Litig.**, 1:15-cv-00557 (ALC) (D.N.J. Oct. 31, 2014)
- **In re Violin Memory, Inc. Sec. Litig.**, 4:13-cv-05486-YGR (N.D. Cal. Feb. 26, 2014)
- **Berry v. Kior, Inc.**, 4:13-cv-02443 (S.D. Tex. Nov. 25, 2013)
- **In re OCZ Technology Group, Inc. Sec. Litig.**, 3:12-cv-05265-RS (N.D. Cal. Jan. 4, 2013)
- **In re Digital Domain Media Group, Inc. Sec. Litig.**, 12-CIV-14333 (JEM) (S.D. Fla. Sept. 20, 2012)
- **Zaghian v. THQ, Inc.**, 2:12-cv-05227-GAF-JEM (C.D. Cal. Sept. 14, 2012)

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

Derivative, Corporate Governance & Executive Compensation

We protect shareholders by enforcing the obligations of corporate fiduciaries. We are a leader in achieving important corporate governance reforms for the benefit of shareholders. Our efforts include the prosecution of derivative actions in courts around the country, making pre-litigation demands on corporate boards to investigate misconduct and taking remedial action for the benefit of shareholders. In situations where a company's board responds to a demand by commencing its own investigation, we frequently work with the board's counsel to assist with and monitor the investigation, ensuring that the investigation is thorough and conducted in an appropriate manner.

We also have successfully prosecuted derivative and class action cases to hold corporate executives and board members accountable for various abuses and to help preserve corporate assets through long-lasting and meaningful corporate governance changes, thus ensuring that prior misconduct does not reoccur. We have extensive experience challenging executive compensation, recapturing assets for the benefit of companies and their shareholders. In addition, we have secured corporate governance changes to ensure that executive compensation is consistent with shareholder-approved compensation plans, company performance, and federal securities laws.

In ***In re Google Inc. Class C Shareholder Litigation***, C.A. No. 7469-CS (Del. Ch.), we challenged a stock recapitalization transaction to create a new class of nonvoting shares and strengthen the corporate control of the Google founders. We helped achieve an agreement that provided an adjustment payment to existing shareholders harmed by the transaction as well as providing enhanced board scrutiny of the Google founders' ability to transfer stock. Ultimately, Google's shareholders received payments of \$522 million and total net benefits estimated as exceeding \$3 billion.

In ***In re Activision, Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation***, No. 06-cv-04771-MRP (JTLX) (C.D. Cal.), we were Co-Lead Counsel and challenged executive compensation related to the dating of options. This effort resulted in the recovery of more than \$24 million in excessive compensation and expenses, as well as the implementation of substantial corporate governance changes.

In ***Pfeiffer v. Toll*** (Toll Brothers Derivative Litigation), C.A. No. 4140-VCL (Del. Ch.), we prevailed in defeating defendants' motion to dismiss in a case seeking disgorgement of profits that company insiders reaped through a pattern of insider-trading. After extensive discovery, we secured a settlement returning \$16.25 million in cash to the company, including a significant contribution from the individuals who traded on inside information.

In ***Rux v. Meyer***, C.A. No. 11577-CB (Del. Ch.), we challenged the re-purchase by Sirius XM of its stock from its controlling stockholder, Liberty Media, at an inflated, above-market price. After defeating a motion to dismiss and discovery, we obtained a settlement where SiriusXM recovered \$8.25 million, a substantial percentage of its over-payment.

In ***In re EZCorp Inc. Consulting Agreement Derivative Litig.***, C.A. 9962-VCL, (Del. Ch.), we challenged lucrative consulting agreements between EZCorp and its controlling stockholders. After surviving multiple motions to dismiss, we obtained a settlement where EZCorp was repaid \$6.5 million it had paid in consulting fees, or approximately 33% of the total at issue and the consulting agreements were discontinued.

In ***Scherer v. Lu***, (Diodes Incorporated), No. 13-358-GMS, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 196440 (D. Del.), we secured the cancellation of \$4.9 million worth of stock options granted to the company's CEO in violation of a shareholder-approved plan, and obtained additional disclosures to enable shareholders to cast a fully-informed vote on the adoption of a new compensation plan at the company's annual meeting.

In ***MacCormack v. Groupon, Inc.***, C.A. No. 13-940-GMS (D. Del.), we caused the cancellation of \$2.3 million

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

worth of restricted stock units granted to a company executive in violation of a shareholder-approved plan, as well as the adoption of enhanced corporate governance procedures designed to ensure that the board of directors complies with the terms of the plan; we also obtained additional material disclosures to shareholders in connection with a shareholder vote on amendments to the plan.

In **Edwards v. Benson**, (Headwaters Incorporated), (D. Utah), we caused the cancellation of \$3.2 million worth of stock appreciation rights granted to the company's CEO in violation of a shareholder-approved plan and the adoption of enhanced corporate governance procedures designed to ensure that the board of directors complies with the terms of the plan.

In **Pfeiffer v. Begley**, (DeVry, Inc.), (Cir. Ct. DuPage Cty., Ill.), we secured the cancellation of \$2.1 million worth of stock options granted to the company's CEO in 2008-2012 in violation of a shareholder-approved incentive plan.

In **Basch v. Healy** (D. Del.), we obtained a cash payment to the company to compensate for equity awards issued to officers in violation of the company's compensation plan and caused significant changes in the company's compensation policies and procedures designed to ensure that future compensation decisions are made consistent with the company's plans, charters and policies. We also impacted the board's creation of a new compensation plan and obtained additional disclosures to stockholders concerning the board's administration of the company's plan and the excess compensation.

In **Kleba v. Dees**, C.A. 3-1-13 (Tenn. Cir. Ct. Knox Cty.), we recovered approximately \$9 million in excess compensation given to insiders and the cancellation of millions of shares of stock options issued in violation of a shareholder-approved compensation plan. In addition, we obtained the adoption of formal corporate governance procedures designed to ensure that future compensation decisions are made independently and consistent with the plan.

In **Lopez v. Nudelman**, (CTI BioPharma Corp.), 14-2-18941-9 SEA (Wash. Super. Ct. King Cnty.), we recovered approximately \$3.5 million in excess compensation given to directors and obtained the adoption of a cap on director compensation, as well as other formal corporate governance procedures designed to implement best practices with regard to director and executive compensation.

In **In re i2 Technologies, Inc. Shareholder Litigation**, C.A. No. 4003-CC (Del. Ch.), as Counsel for the Lead Plaintiff, we challenged the fairness of certain asset sales made by the company and secured a \$4 million recovery.

In **In re Corinthian Colleges, Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation**, 8:06cv777-AHS (C.D. Cal.), we were Co-Lead Counsel and achieved a \$2 million benefit for the company, resulting in the re-pricing of executive stock options and the establishment of extensive corporate governance changes.

In **Pfeiffer v. Alpert (Beazer Homes Derivative Litigation)**, C.A. No. 10-cv-1063-PD (D. Del.), we successfully challenged certain aspects of the company's executive compensation structure, ultimately forcing the company to improve its compensation practices.

In **In re Cincinnati Bell, Inc., Derivative Litigation**, Case No. A1105305 (Ohio, Hamilton Cty.), we achieved significant corporate governance changes and enhancements related to the company's compensation policies and practices in order to better align executive compensation with company performance. Reforms included the formation of an entirely independent compensation committee with staggered terms and term limits for service.

In **Woodford v. Mizel (M.D.C. Holdings, Inc.)**, 1:2011cv00879 (D. Del.), we challenged excessive executive compensation, ultimately obtaining millions of dollars in reductions of that compensation, as well as corporate governance enhancements designed to implement best practices with regard to executive compensation and increased shareholder input.

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

In *In re Restoration Robotics, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 5:18-cv-03712-EJD (N.D. Cal. 2018), the Firm is sole Lead Counsel and has prevailed on a Motion to Dismiss. The class action is in the early stages of discovery and shareholders stand to recover damages in connection with an Initial Public Offering.

In *Stein v. U.S. Xpress Enterprises, Inc., et al.*, 1:19-cv-98-HSM (E.D. Tenn. Jul. 18, 2020) the Firm is sole Lead Counsel and has prevailed on a Motion to Dismiss. The class action is in the early stages of discovery and shareholders stand to recover damages in connection with an Initial Public Offering.

Mergers & Acquisitions

We have achieved an impressive record in obtaining injunctive relief for shareholders and are one of the premier law firms engaged in mergers & acquisitions and takeover litigation, where we strive to maximize shareholder value. In these cases, we regularly fight to obtain settlements that enable the submission of competing buyout bid proposals, thereby increasing consideration for shareholders.

We have litigated landmark cases that have altered the landscape of mergers & acquisitions law and resulted in multi-million dollar awards to aggrieved shareholders.

In *In re CNX Gas Corp. Shareholder Litigation*, 4 A.3d 397 (Del. Ch. 2010), as Plaintiffs' Executive Committee Counsel, we obtained a landmark ruling from the Delaware Chancery Court that set forth a unified standard for assessing the rights of shareholders in the context of freeze-out transactions and ultimately led to a common fund recovery of over \$42.7 million for the company's shareholders.

In *Chen v. Howard-Anderson*, C.A. No 5878-VCL (Del. Ch. 2010), we represented shareholders in challenging the merger between Occam Networks, Inc. and Calix, Inc., obtaining a preliminary injunction against the merger after showing that the proxy statement by which the shareholders were solicited to vote for the merger was materially false and misleading. Post-closing, we took the case to trial and recovered an additional \$35 million for the shareholders.

In *In re Sauer-Danfoss Stockholder Litig.*, C.A. No. 8396 (Del. Ch.), as one of plaintiffs' co-lead counsel, we recovered a \$10 million common fund settlement in connection with a controlling stockholder merger transaction.

In *In re Yongye International, Inc. Shareholders' Litigation*, Consolidated Case No.: A-12-670468-B (District Court, Clark County, Nevada), as one of plaintiffs' co-lead counsel, we recovered a \$6 million common fund settlement in connection with a management-led buyout of minority stockholders in a China-based company incorporated under Nevada law.

In *In re Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. Shareholder Litigation*, C.A. No. 7328-VCN (Del. Ch. 2012), we achieved tremendous results for shareholders, including partial responsibility for a \$93 million (57%) increase in merger consideration and the waiver of several "don't-ask-don't-waive" standstill agreements that were restricting certain potential bidders from making a topping bid for the company.

In *In re Talecris Biotherapeutics Holdings Shareholder Litigation*, C.A. No. 5614-VCL (Del. Ch. 2010), we served as counsel for one of the Lead Plaintiffs, achieving a settlement that increased the merger consideration to Talecris shareholders by an additional 500,000 shares of the acquiring company's stock and providing shareholders with appraisal rights.

In *In re Minerva Group LP v. Mod-Pac Corp.*, Index No. 800621/2013 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. Erie Cty. 2013), we obtained a settlement in which defendants increased the price of an insider buyout from \$8.40 to \$9.25 per share, representing a recovery of \$2.4 million for shareholders.

In *Stephen J. Dannis v. J.D. Nichols*, C.A. No. 13-CI-00452 (Ky. Cir. Ct. Jefferson Cty. 2014), as Co-Lead Counsel, we obtained a 23% increase in the merger consideration (from \$7.50 to \$9.25 per unit) for shareholders of NTS Realty Holdings Limited Partnership. The total benefit of \$7.4 million was achieved after

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

two years of hard-fought litigation, challenging the fairness of the going-private, squeeze-out merger by NTS's controlling unitholder and Chairman, Defendant Jack Nichols. The unitholders bringing the action alleged that Nichols' proposed transaction grossly undervalued NTS's units. The 23% increase in consideration was a remarkable result given that on October 18, 2013, the Special Committee appointed by the Board of Directors had terminated the existing merger agreement with Nichols. Through counsel's tenacious efforts the transaction was resurrected and improved.

In **Dias v. Purches**, C.A. No. 7199-VCG (Del. Ch. 2012), Vice Chancellor Sam Glasscock, III of the Delaware Chancery Court partially granted shareholders' motion for preliminary injunction and ordered that defendants correct a material misrepresentation in the proxy statement related to the acquisition of Parlux Fragrances, Inc. by Perfumania Holding, Inc.

In **Forgo v. Health Grades, Inc.**, C.A. No. 5716-VCS (Del. Ch. 2010), as Co-Lead Counsel, our attorneys established that defendants had likely breached their fiduciary duties to Health Grades' shareholders by failing to maximize value as required under *Revlon, Inc. v. MacAndrews & Forbes Holdings, Inc.*, 506 A.2d 173 (Del. 1986). We secured an agreement with defendants to take numerous steps to seek a superior offer for the company, including making key modifications to the merger agreement, creating an independent committee to evaluate potential offers, extending the tender offer period, and issuing a "Fort Howard" release affirmatively stating that the company would participate in good faith discussions with any party making a bona fide acquisition proposal.

In **In re Pamrapo Bancorp Shareholder Litigation**, Docket C-89-09 (N.J. Ch. Hudson Cty. 2011) & HUD-L-3608-12 (N.J. Law Div. Hudson Cty. 2015), we defeated defendants' motion to dismiss shareholders' class action claims for money damages arising from the sale of Pamrapo Bancorp to BCB Bancorp at an allegedly unfair price through an unfair process. We then survived a motion for summary judgment, ultimately securing a settlement recovering \$1.95 million for the Class plus the Class's legal fees and expenses up to \$1 million (representing an increase in consideration of 15-23% for the members of the Class). The case.

In **In re Complete Genomics, Inc. Shareholder Litigation**, C.A. No. 7888-VCL (Del. Ch. 2012), we obtained preliminary injunctions of corporate merger and acquisition transactions, and Plaintiffs successfully enjoined a "don't-ask-don't-waive" standstill agreement.

In **In re Integrated Silicon Solution, Inc. Stockholder Litigation**, Lead Case No. 115CV279142 (Super. Ct. Santa Clara, CA 2015), we won an injunction requiring corrective disclosures concerning "don't-ask-don't-waive" standstill agreements and certain financial advisor conflicts of interests, and contributed to the integrity of a post-agreement bidding contest that led to an increase in consideration from \$19.25 to \$23 per share, a bump of almost 25 percent.

In **In re Bluegreen Corp. Shareholder Litigation**, Case No. 502011CA018111 (Cir. Ct. for Palm Beach Cty., FL), as Co-Lead Counsel, we achieved a common fund recovery of \$36.5 million for minority shareholders in connection with a management-led buyout, increasing gross consideration to shareholders in connection with the transaction by 25% after three years of intense litigation.

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

Consumer Litigation

Levi & Korsinsky works hard to protect consumers by holding corporations accountable for defective products, false and misleading advertising, unfair or deceptive business practices, antitrust violations, and privacy right violations.

Our litigation and class action expertise combined with our in-depth understanding of federal and state laws enable us to fight for consumers who have been aggrieved by deceptive and unfair business practices and who purchased defective products, including automobiles, appliances, electronic goods, and other consumer products. The Firm also represents consumers in cases involving data breaches and privacy right violations. The Firm's attorneys have received a number of leadership appointments in consumer class action cases, including multidistrict litigation ("MDL"). Recently, Law.com identified the Firm as one of the top firms with MDL leadership appointments in the article titled, "There Are New Faces Leading MDLs. And They Aren't All Men" (July 6, 2020). Representative settled and ongoing cases include:

In *NV Security, Inc. v. Fluke Networks*, Case No. CV05-4217 GW (SSx) (C.D. Cal. 2005), we negotiated a settlement on behalf of purchasers of Test Set telephones in an action alleging that the Test Sets contained a defective 3-volt battery. We benefited the consumer class by obtaining the following relief: free repair of the 3-volt battery, reimbursement for certain prior repair, an advisory concerning the 3-volt battery on the outside of packages of new Test Sets, an agreement that defendants would cease to market and/or sell certain Test Sets, and a 42-month warranty on the 3-volt battery contained in certain devices sold in the future.

In Re: *Apple Inc. Device Performance Litig.*, Case No. 5:18-md-02827-EJD (N.D. Cal.): Plaintiffs' Executive Committee Counsel in proposed nationwide class action alleging that Apple purposefully throttled iPhone; Apple has agreed to pay up to \$500 million in cash (proposed settlement pending).

In Re: *Intel Corp. CPU Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litig.*, Case No. 3:18-md-02828 (D. Or.): Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel in proposed nationwide class action alleging that Intel manufactured and sold defective central processing units that allowed unauthorized access to consumer stored confidential information.

In Re: *ZF-TRW Airbag Control Units Products Liability Litig.*, Case No. 2:19-mi-02905-JAK-FFM (C.D. Cal.): Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Counsel in proposed nationwide class action alleging that defendant auto manufacturers sold vehicles with defective airbags.

In Re: *EpiPen (Epinephrine Injection, USP) Marketing, Sales Practices and Antitrust Litig.*, Case No. 17-md-02785 (D. Kan.): Plaintiffs' Executive Committee Counsel in action alleging that Mylan and Pfizer violated antitrust laws and committed other violations relating to the sale of EpiPens. Nationwide class and multi-state classes certified.

Sung, et al. v. Schurman Retail Group, Case No. 17-cv-02760-LB (N.D. Cal.): Co-Lead Class Counsel in nationwide class action alleging unauthorized disclosure of employee financial information; obtained final approval of nationwide class action settlement providing credit monitoring and identity theft restoration services through 2022 and cash payments of up to \$400.

Scott, et al. v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Case No. 1:17-cv-00249 (D.D.C.): Co-Lead Class Counsel in nationwide class action settlement of claims alleging improper fees deducted from payments awarded to jurors; 100% direct refund of improper fees collected.

In Re: *Citrix Data Breach Litig.*, Case No. 19-cv-61350-RKA (S.D. Fla.): Interim Class Counsel in action alleging company failed to implement reasonable security measures to protect employee financial information; common fund settlement of \$2.25 million pending.

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

NV Security, Inc. v. Fluke Networks, Case No. CV05-4217 GW (SSx) (C.D. Cal. 2005): Settlement on behalf of purchasers of Test Set telephones in an action alleging that the Test Sets contained a defective 3-volt battery; benefits included free repair of the 3-volt battery, reimbursement for certain prior repair, an advisory concerning the 3-volt battery on the outside of packages of new Test Sets, an agreement that defendants would cease to market and/or sell certain Test Sets, and a 42-month warranty on the 3-volt battery contained in certain devices sold in the future.

Bustos v. Vonage America, Inc., Case No. 06 Civ. 2308 (HAA) (D.N.J. 2006): Common fund settlement of \$1.75 million on behalf of class members who purchased Vonage Fax Service in an action alleging that Vonage made false and misleading statements in the marketing, advertising, and sale of Vonage Fax Service by failing to inform consumers that the protocol defendant used for the Vonage Fax Service was unreliable and unsuitable for facsimile communications.

Masterson v. Canon U.S.A., Case No. BC340740 (Cal. Super. Ct. L.A. Cty. 2006): Settlement providing refunds to Cannon SD camera purchasers for certain broken LCD repair charges and important changes to the product warranty.

“The quality of the representation... has been extremely high, not just in terms of the favorable outcome in terms of the substance of the settlement, but in terms of the diligence and the hard work that has gone into producing that outcome.”

The Honorable Joseph F. Bianco, in *Landes v. Sony Mobile Communications*,
17-cv-02264-JFB-SIL (E.D.N.Y. Dec. 1, 2017)

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

OUR ATTORNEYS

Managing Partners

Eduard Korsinsky

For more than 20 years Eduard Korsinsky has represented clients in securities cases, derivative actions, consumer fraud, and complex commercial matters. He has been named a New York "Super Lawyer" by Thomson Reuters and is recognized as one of the country's leading practitioners in class and derivative matters. Mr. Korsinsky also has served as an editor of the American Bar Association's Securities Litigation Section's newsletter and is a member of the American Bar Association's Derivative Suits Subcommittee.

Cases which he has litigated include:

- ***E-Trade Financial Corp. Sec. Litig.***, No. 07-cv-8538 (S.D.N.Y. 2007), \$79 million recovery
- ***In re Activision, Inc. S'holder Derivative Litig.***, No. 06-cv-04771-MRP (JTLX) (C.D. Cal. 2006), recovered \$24 million in excess compensation
- ***Corinthian Colleges, Inc., S'holder Derivative Litig.***, SACV-06-0777-AHS (C.D. Cal. 2009), obtained repricing of executive stock options providing more than \$2 million in benefits to the company
- ***Pfeiffer v. Toll***, C.A. No. 4140-VCL (Del. Ch. 2010), \$16.25 million in insider trading profits recovered
- ***In re Net2Phone, Inc. S'holder Litig.***, Case No. 1467-N (Del. Ch. 2005), obtained increase in tender offer price from \$1.70 per share to \$2.05 per share
- ***In re Pamrapo Bancorp S'holder Litig.***, C-89-09 (N.J. Ch. Hudson Cty. 2011) & HUD-L-3608-12 (N.J. Law Div. Hudson Cty. 2015), obtained supplemental disclosures following the filing of a motion for preliminary injunction, pursued case post-closing, defeated motion for summary judgment, and obtained an increase in consideration of between 15-23% for the members of the Class
- ***In re Google Inc. Class C S'holder Litig.***, C.A. No. 19786 (Del. Ch. 2012), obtained payment ladder indemnifying investors up to \$8 billion in losses stemming from trading discounts expected to affect the new stock
- ***Woodford v. M.D.C. Holdings, Inc.***, 1:2011cv00879 (D. Del. 2012), one of a few successful challenges to say on pay voting, recovered millions of dollars in reductions to compensation
- ***i2 Technologies, Inc. S'holder Litig.***, C.A. No. 4003-CC (Del. Ch. 2008), \$4 million recovered, challenging fairness of certain asset sales made by the company
- ***Pfeiffer v. Alpert (Beazer Homes)***, C.A. No. 10-cv-1063-PD (D. Del. 2011), obtained substantial revisions to an unlawful executive compensation structure
- ***In re NCS Healthcare, Inc. Sec. Litig.***, C.A. CA 19786, (Del. Ch. 2002), case settled for approximately \$100 million
- ***Paraschos v. YBM Magnex Int'l, Inc.***, No. 98-CV-6444 (E.D. Pa.), United States and Canadian cases settled for \$85 million Canadian

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

Education

- New York University School of Law, LL.M. Master of Law(s) Taxation (1997)
- Brooklyn Law School, J.D. (1995)
- Brooklyn College, B.S., Accounting, *summa cum laude* (1992)

Admissions

- New York (1996)
- New Jersey (1996)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (1998)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (1998)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (2006)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2010)
- United States District Court for the Northern District of New York (2011)
- United States District Court of New Jersey (2012)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit (2013)

Publications

- Delaware Court Dismisses Compensation Case Against Goldman Sachs, ABA Section of Securities Litigation News & Developments (Nov. 7, 2011)
- SDNY Questions SEC Settlement Practices in Citigroup Settlement, ABA Section of Securities Litigation News & Developments (Nov. 7, 2011)
- New York Court Dismisses Shareholder Suit Against Goldman Sachs, ABA Section of Securities Litigation News & Developments (Oct. 31, 2011)

Joseph E. Levi

Joseph E. Levi is a central figure in shaping and managing the Firm's securities litigation practice. Mr. Levi has been lead or co-lead in dozens of cases involving the enforcement of shareholder rights in the context of mergers & acquisitions and securities fraud. In addition to his involvement in class action litigation, he has represented numerous patent holders in enforcing their patent rights in areas including computer hardware, software, communications, and information processing, and has been instrumental in obtaining substantial awards and settlements.

Mr. Levi and the attorneys achieved success on behalf of the former shareholders of Occam Networks, Inc. in litigation challenging the Company's merger with Calix, Inc., obtaining a preliminary injunction against the merger due to material representations and omissions in the proxy statement by which the shareholders were solicited to vote. See **Chen v. Howard-Anderson**, No. 5878-VCL (Del. Ch. Jan. 24, 2011). Vigorous litigation efforts continued to trial, recovering \$35 million for the shareholders.

Another victory for Mr. Levi and the attorneys was in litigation challenging the acquisition of Health Grades, Inc. by affiliates of Vestar Capital Partners, L.P., where it was successfully demonstrated to the Delaware Court of Chancery that the defendants had likely breached their fiduciary duties to Health Grades' shareholders by failing to maximize value as required by **Revlon, Inc. v. MacAndrews & Forbes Holdings, Inc.**, 506 A.2d 173 (Del. 1986). See **Weigard v. Hicks**, No. 5732-VCS (Del. Ch. Sept. 3, 2010). This ruling was used to reach a favorable settlement in which defendants agreed to a host of measures designed to

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

increase the likelihood of superior bid. Vice Chancellor Strine “applaud[ed]” the litigation team for their preparation and the extraordinary high-quality of the briefing. He and the attorneys also played a prominent role in the matter of ***In re CNX Gas Corp. Shareholders Litigation***, C.A. No. 5377-VCL (Del. Ch. 2010), in which plaintiffs recovered a common fund of over \$42.7 million for stockholders.

Education

- Brooklyn Law School, J.D., *magna cum laude* (1995)
- Polytechnic University, B.S., *summa cum laude* (1984); M.S. (1986)

Admissions

- New York (1996)
- New Jersey (1996)
- United States Patent and Trademark Office (1997)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (1997)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (1997)

“[The court] appreciated very much the quality of the argument..., the obvious preparation that went into it, and the ability of counsel...”

Vice Chancellor Sam Glasscock, III in *Dias v. Purches*, C.A. No. 7199-VCG (Del. Ch. Apr. 5, 2012)

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

Partners

Nicholas I. Porritt

Nicholas Porritt prosecutes securities class actions, shareholder class actions, derivative actions, and mergers and acquisitions litigation. He has extensive experience representing plaintiffs and defendants in a wide variety of complex commercial litigation, including civil fraud, breach of contract, and professional malpractice, as well as defending SEC investigations and enforcement actions. Mr. Porritt has helped recover hundreds of millions of dollars on behalf of shareholders. He was one of the Lead Counsel in ***In re Google Inc. Class C Shareholder Litigation***, C.A. No. 7469-CS (Del. Ch.) that resulted in a payment of \$522 million to shareholders and overall benefit of over \$3 billion to Google's minority shareholders. He was one of the lead counsel in ***Chen v. Howard-Anderson***, No. 5878-VCL (Del. Ch.) that settled during trial resulting in a \$35 million payment to the former shareholders of Occam Networks, Inc., one of the largest quasi-appraisal recoveries for shareholders. Amongst other cases, he is currently lead counsel in ***In re Tesla, Inc. Securities Litigation***, No. 3:18-cv-04865-EMC (N.D. Cal.), representing Tesla investors who were harmed by Elon Musk's "funding secured" tweet from August 7, 2018 as well as lead counsel in ***Ford v. TD Ameritrade Holding Corp.***, No. 14-cv-396 (D. Neb.), representing TD Ameritrade customers harmed by its improper routing of their orders. Both cases involve over \$1 billion in estimated damages.

Some of Mr. Porritt's recent cases include:

- ***In re Bridgestone Inv. Corp.***, 789 Fed. App'x 13 (9th Cir. 2019)
- ***Zaghian v. Farrell***, 675 Fed. Appx. 718, (9th Cir. 2017)
- ***SEC v. Cuban***, 620 F.3d 551 (5th Cir. 2010)
- ***Cozzarelli v. Inspire Pharmaceuticals, Inc.***, 549 F.3d 618 (4th Cir. 2008)
- ***Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana v. Hunter***, 477 F.3d 162 (4th Cir. 2007)
- ***In re Tesla, Inc. Sec. Litig.***, 2020 WL 1873441 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 15, 2020)
- ***In re Navient Corp. Sec. Litig.***, 2019 WL 7288881 (D.N.J. Dec. 30, 2019)
- ***In re Clovis Oncology, Inc. Deriv. Litig.***, 2019 WL 4850188 (Del. Ch. Oct. 1, 2019)
- ***Martin v. Altisource Residential Corp.***, 2019 WL 2762923 (D.V.I. July 2, 2019)
- ***Klein v. TD Ameritrade Holding Corp.***, 327 F.R.D. 283 (D. Neb. 2018)
- ***Beezley v. Fenix Parts, Inc.***, 2018 WL 3454490 (N.D. Ill. July 13, 2018)
- ***In re PTC Therapeutics Sec. Litig.***, 2017 WL 3705801 (D.N.J. Aug. 28, 2017)
- ***Gormley v. magicJack VocalTec Ltd.***, 220 F. Supp. 3d 510 (S.D.N.Y. 2016)
- ***Carlton v. Cannon***, 184 F. Supp. 3d 428 (S.D. Tex. 2016)
- ***Zola v. TD Ameritrade, Inc.***, 172 F. Supp. 3d 1055 (D. Neb. 2016)
- ***In re Energy Recovery Sec. Litig.***, 2016 WL 324150 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 27, 2016)
- ***In re EZCorp Inc. Consulting Agreement Deriv. Litig.***, 2016 WL 301245 (Del. Ch. Jan. 25, 2016)
- ***In re Violin Memory Sec. Litig.***, 2014 WL 5525946 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 31, 2014)
- ***Garnitschnig v. Horovitz***, 48 F. Supp. 3d 820 (D. Md. 2014)

Mr. Porritt was selected by Lawdragon as one of the 500 leading plaintiff lawyers in financial litigation and was selected to the 2020 DC Super Lawyers list published by Thomson Reuters.

Mr. Porritt speaks frequently on current topics relating to securities laws and derivative actions, including presentations on behalf of the Council for Institutional Investors, Nasdaq, and the Practising Law Institute. He currently serves as co-chair of the American Bar Association Sub-Committee on Derivative Actions.

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

Before joining the Firm, Mr. Porritt practiced as a partner at Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP and prior to that was a partner at Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati PC. Mr. Porritt formerly practiced as a Barrister and Solicitor in Wellington, New Zealand and is a Solicitor of the Senior Courts of England & Wales.

Education

- University of Chicago Law School, J.D., With Honors (1996)
- University of Chicago Law School, LL.M. (1993)
- Victoria University of Wellington, LL.B. (Hons.), With First Class Honors, Senior Scholarship (1990)

Admissions

- New York (1997)
- District of Columbia (1998)
- United States District Court for the District of Columbia (1999)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2004)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit (2004)
- United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (2006)
- United States Supreme Court (2006)
- United States District Court for the District of Maryland (2007)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2012)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (2014)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (2015)
- United States District Court for the District of Colorado (2015)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit (2016)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit (2017)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit (2019)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2019)

Publications

- "Current Trends in Securities Litigation: How Companies and Counsel Should Respond," *Inside the Minds Recent Developments in Securities Law* (Aspatore Press 2010)

Rosemary M. Rivas

The Firm's Consumer Litigation Group is led by Rosemary M. Rivas, who manages the Firm's San Francisco office. She has dedicated her legal career to representing consumers in complex, class action litigation in various areas including defective products and automobiles, data breach and privacy rights, false and misleading advertising, and unfair business practices, among others. Ms. Rivas has been influential in recovering millions of dollars and changes to corporate practices on behalf of consumers. In a highly competitive application process, Judge Charles R. Breyer appointed Ms. Rivas to the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in *In re: Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" MDL*, Case No. 15-MDL-2672-CRB (JSC), which resulted in unprecedented settlements exceeding \$15 billion dollars.

Currently, Ms. Rivas is Co-Lead Counsel in the action titled *Intel Corp. CPU Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litig.*, Case No. 3:18-md-02828-SI, involving allegations that Intel sold CPUs that were

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

defective and allowed unauthorized access to confidential information. Ms. Rivas is also currently a member of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in the action titled ***In re: EpiPen (Epinephrine Injection, USP) Marketing, Sales Practices and Antitrust Litig.***, Case No. 2:17-md-02785 (D. Kan.) involving unlawful monopoly claims in the market for epinephrine injection pens.

Ms. Rivas' work has resulted in important monetary and injunctive settlements in a number of class action cases, such as:

- ***Sung v. Schuman Fine Papers***, Case No. 17-cv-02760 (N.D. Cal.) (Co-Lead Class Counsel): nationwide class action settlement of claims for unauthorized disclosure of W2s; eligible class members could recover up to \$500 and implementation of training and changes to practices for the protection of employee personal and financial information
- ***Scott v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.***, Case No. 1:17-cv-00249 (D.D.C.) (Co-Lead Class Counsel): nationwide class action settlement of claims alleging improper fees to payments awarded to jurors; 100% direct refund of improper fees collected
- ***Lilly v. ConAgra Foods***, 743 F.3d 662 (9th Cir. 2014) (Class Counsel): claims that food manufacturer violated food regulations by failing to list total sodium on salt of sunflower seeds product were not preempted by federal law; class action injunctive relief settlement for change in product labels
- ***Petersen v. CJ America, Inc.***, Case No. 3:14-cv-02570 (S.D. Cal.) (Co-Lead Class Counsel): nationwide class action involving false advertising claims; \$1.5 million common fund and changes to product labeling
- ***Lilly v. Jamba Juice***, Case No. 13-cv-02998 (N.D. Cal.) (Co-Lead Class Counsel): class action injunctive relief settlement; change in product labels
- ***In re Carrier IQ, Inc., Consumer Privacy Litig.***, Case No. 3:12-md-02330 (N.D. Cal.) (Executive Committee): nationwide class action settlement involving data privacy; \$9 million settlement and changes to corporate practices
- ***Pappas v. Naked Juice***, Case No. 2:11-cv-08276 (C.D. Cal.) (Co-Lead Class Counsel): nationwide class action settlement for \$9 million and changes to the company's testing procedures and product labels
- ***Garcia v. Allergan, Inc.***, Case No. 09-cv-7088 PSG (C.D. Cal.) (Co-Lead Class Counsel): nationwide class action settlement of false advertising and unfair business practice claims; \$7.75 million settlement and changes to the company's training procedures
- ***Rodriguez v. West Publishing Corp.***, 563 F.3d 948 (9th Cir. 2009): nationwide class action settlement of antitrust claims in bar review market; \$49 million and dissolution of allegedly illegal market allocation agreement
- ***Lima v. Gateway***, Case No. SACV-09-1366 (C.D. Cal.) (Co-Lead Class Counsel): nationwide class action involving defective monitor; \$195 cash refund for each monitor purchased

She has also been instrumental in obtaining favorable appellate decisions on behalf of consumers in the areas of false advertising, federal preemption, and arbitration, such as:

- ***Lilly v. ConAgra Foods, Inc.***, 743 F.3d 662 (9th Cir. 2014)
- ***In re Sony PS3 "Other OS" Litig.***, 551 Fed. App. 916 (9th Cir. 2014)

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

- ***Probst v. Superior Court (Health Net of California)***, 2012 Cal. LEXIS 4476 (Ct. Appeal, 1st Dist., May 9, 2012)

Ms. Rivas is a recipient of the 2018 California Lawyer Attorney of the Year (CLAY) Award. The CLAY award was presented to her by the Daily Journal for her work in the Volkswagen litigation. The CLAY awards are given annually to outstanding California practitioners “whose extraordinary work and cases had a major impact on the law.”

In 2019 Ms. Rivas was selected as a Super Lawyer. From 2009-2011, Ms. Rivas was selected as a Rising Star by Law & Politics Magazine, which recognizes the best lawyers 40 years old or under or in practice for 10 years or less. In 2015, Bay Area Legal Aid presented her with the Guardian of Justice award, for her work achievements in the law and her role in helping direct cy prè s funds to ensure equal access to the civil justice system. As a recognized leader in consumer class actions, Ms. Rivas is regularly invited to speak at conferences concerning class action litigation, including the following:

- *Class Action Law Forum 2020 – Review of Key Class Action Decisions*, March 2020 (Western Alliance Bank in collaboration with University of San Diego School of Law)
- *Nationwide Settlement Classes – The Impact of the Hyundai/Kia Litigation*, 2018 (National Consumer Law Center’s Consumer Rights Litigation Conference and Class Action Symposium)
- *One Class Action Or 50? Choice of Law Considerations as Potential Impediment to Nationwide Class Action Settlements*, 2018 (5th Annual Western Regional CLE Program on Class Actions and Mass Torts)
- *The Right Approach to Effective Claims*, 2018 (Beard Group - Class Action Money & Ethics)
- *False Advertising Class Actions: A Practitioner’s Guide to Class Certification, Damages and Trial*, 2017 (The Bar Association of San Francisco)
- *Section 17200: The Fertility of Man’s Invention*, 2016 (The Bar Association of San Francisco)
- *Food Labeling and False Advertising Class Actions*, 2015 (The Bar Association of San Francisco)
- *Data Privacy Law 101: U.S. Data Privacy and Security Laws 2015* (The Bar Association of San Francisco)
- *Effective Consumer Privacy Enforcement*, 2011 (Berkeley Law and The Samuelson Law, Technology & Public Policy Clinic)
- *Class Actions: New Developments & Approaches for Strategic Response*, 2013 (American Bar Association)

Previously, Ms. Rivas served as a Board Member and Diversity Director of the Barristers Club of the San Francisco Bar Association. Ms. Rivas is fluent in Spanish.

Education

- University of California, Hastings College of Law, J.D. (2000)
- San Francisco State University, B.A., Political Science (1997)

Admissions

- United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (2001)
- United States District Court for the Northern District of California (2001)
- United States District Court for the Central District of California (2002)

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

- United States District Court for the Eastern District of California (2005)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of California (2005)

Donald J. Enright

During his 23 years as a litigator and trial lawyer, Mr. Enright has handled matters in the fields of securities, commodities, consumer fraud and commercial litigation, with a particular emphasis on shareholder M&A and securities fraud class action litigation. He has been named as one of the leading financial litigators in the nation by Lawdragon, as a Washington, DC "Super Lawyer" by Thomson Reuters, and as one of the city's "Top Lawyers" by *Washingtonian* magazine.

Mr. Enright has shown a track record of achieving victories in federal trials and appeals, including:

- ***Nathenson v. Zonagen, Inc.***, 267 F. 3d 400, 413 (5th Cir. 2001)
- ***SEC v. Butler***, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 7194 (W.D. Pa. April 18, 2005)
- ***Belizan v. Hershon***, 434 F. 3d 579 (D.C. Cir. 2006)

Most recently, as Co-Lead Counsel in ***In re Bluegreen Corp. Shareholder Litigation***, Case No. 502011CA018111 (Cir. Ct. for Palm Beach Cnty., Fla.), Mr. Enright achieved a \$36.5 million common fund settlement in the wake of a majority shareholder buyout, representing a 25% increase in total consideration to the minority stockholders. Similarly, in ***In re CNX Gas Corp. Shareholders Litigation***, C.A. No. 53377-VCL (Del. Ch. 2010), in which Levi & Korsinsky served upon plaintiffs' Executive Committee, Mr. Enright helped obtain the recovery of a common fund of over \$42.7 million for stockholders.

Mr. Enright has also played a leadership role in numerous securities and shareholder class actions from inception to conclusion. Most recently, he has served as lead counsel in several cryptocurrency-related securities class actions. His leadership has produced multi-million-dollar recoveries in shareholder class actions involving such companies as:

- Allied Irish Banks PLC
- Iridium World Communications, Ltd.
- En Pointe Technologies, Inc.
- PriceSmart, Inc.
- Polk Audio, Inc.
- Meade Instruments Corp.
- Xicor, Inc.
- Streamlogic Corp.
- Interbank Funding Corp.
- Riggs National Corp.
- UTStarcom, Inc.
- Manugistics Group, Inc.

Mr. Enright also has a successful track record of obtaining injunctive relief in connection with shareholder M&A litigation, having won preliminary injunctions or other injunctive relief in the cases of:

- ***In re Portec Rail Products, Inc. S'holder Litig.***, G.D. 10-3547 (Ct. Com. Pleas Pa. 2010)
- ***In re Craftmade International, Inc. S'holder Litig.***, C.A. No. 6950-VCL (Del. Ch. 2011)
- ***Dias v. Purches***, C.A. No. 7199-VCG (Del. Ch. 2012)
- ***In re Complete Genomics, Inc. S'holder Litig.***, C.A. No. 7888-VCL (Del. Ch. 2012)

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

- ***In re Integrated Silicon Solution, Inc. Stockholder Litig.***, Lead Case No. 115CV279142 (Sup. Ct. Santa Clara, CA 2015)

Mr. Enright has also demonstrated considerable success in obtaining deal price increases for shareholders in M&A litigation. As Co-Lead Counsel in the matter of ***In re Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. Shareholder Litigation***, C.A. No. 7328-VCN (Del. Ch. 2012), Mr. Enright was partially responsible for a \$93 million (57%) increase in merger consideration and waiver of several "don't-ask-don't-waive" standstill agreements that were precluding certain potential bidders from making a topping bid for the company.

Similarly, Mr. Enright served as Co-Lead Counsel in the case of ***Berger v. Life Sciences Research, Inc.***, No. SOM-C-12006-09 (NJ Sup. Ct. 2009), which caused a significant increase in the transaction price from \$7.50 to \$8.50 per share, representing additional consideration for shareholders of approximately \$11.5 million.

Mr. Enright also served as Co-Lead Counsel in ***Minerva Group, LP v. Keane***, Index No. 800621/2013 (NY Sup. Ct. of Erie Cnty.) and obtained a settlement in which Defendants increased the price of an insider buyout from \$8.40 to \$9.25 per share.

The courts have consistently recognized and praised the quality of Mr. Enright's work. In ***In re Interbank Funding Corp. Securities Litigation*** (D.D.C. 02-1490), Judge Bates of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia observed that Mr. Enright had "...skillfully, efficiently, and zealously represented the class, and... worked relentlessly throughout the course of the case."

Similarly, in ***Freeland v. Iridium World Communications, LTD.*** (D.D.C. 99-1002), Judge Nanette Laughrey stated that Mr. Enright had done "an outstanding job" in connection with the recovery of \$43.1 million for the shareholder class.

And, in the matter of ***Osieczanek v. Thomas Properties Group***, C.A. No. 9029-VCG (Del. Ch. 2013), Vice Chancellor Sam Glasscock of the Chancery Court of Delaware observed that "it's always a pleasure to have counsel [like Mr. Enright] who are articulate and exuberant in presenting their position," and that Mr. Enright's prosecution of a merger case was "wholesome" and served as "a model of . . . plaintiffs' litigation in the merger arena."

Education

- George Washington University School of Law, J.D. (1996), where he was a Member Editor of The George Washington University Journal of International Law and Economics from 1994 to 1996
- Drew University, B.A., Political Science and Economics, *cum laude* (1993)

Admissions

- Maryland (1996)
- New Jersey (1996)
- United States District Court for the District of Maryland (1997)
- United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (1997)
- District of Columbia (1999)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit (1999)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit (1999)
- United States District Court for the District of Columbia (1999)
- United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia (2004)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (2005)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2006)

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

- United States District Court for the District of Colorado (2017)

Publications

- "SEC Enforcement Actions and Investigations in Private and Public Offerings," Securities: Public and Private Offerings, Second Edition, West Publishing 2007
- "Dura Pharmaceuticals: Loss Causation Redefined or Merely Clarified?" J. Tax'n & Reg. Fin. Inst. September/October 2007, Page 5

Shannon L. Hopkins

Shannon L. Hopkins manages the Firm's Connecticut office. She was selected in 2013 as a New York "Super Lawyer" by Thomson Reuters. For more than a decade Ms. Hopkins has been prosecuting a wide range of complex class action matters in securities fraud, mergers and acquisitions, and consumer fraud litigation on behalf of individuals and large institutional clients. Ms. Hopkins has played a lead role in numerous shareholder securities fraud and merger and acquisition matters and has been involved in recovering multi-million dollar settlements on behalf of shareholders, including:

- ***In re Force Protection, Inc. S'holder Litig.***, C.A. No. A-11-651336-B (D. Nev. 2015), \$11 million shareholder recovery
- ***Craig Telke v. New Frontier Media, Inc.***, C.A. No. 1:12-cv-02941-JLK (D. Co. 2015), \$2.25 million shareholder recovery
- ***Shona Investments v. Callisto Pharmaceuticals, Inc.***, C.A. No. 652783/2012 (NY Sup. Ct. 2015), shareholder recovery of \$2.5 million and increase in exchange ratio from 0.1700 to 0.1799
- ***E-Trade Financial Corp. S'holder Litig.***, No. 07-cv-8538 (S.D.N.Y. 2007), \$79 million recovery for the shareholder class
- ***In re Cogent, Inc. S'holder Litig.***, C.A. No. 5780-VCP (Del. Ch. 2010), \$1.9 million shareholder recovery and corrective disclosures relating to the Merger
- ***In re CMS Energy Sec. Litig.***, Civil No. 02 CV 72004 (GCS) (E.D. Mich. Sept. 6, 2007), \$200 million recovery
- ***In re Sears, Roebuck and Co. Sec. Litig.***, No. 02-cv-07527 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 8, 2007), \$200 million recovery
- ***In re El Paso Electric Co. Sec. Litig.***, C.A. No. 3:03-cv-00004-DB (W.D. Tex. Sept. 15, 2005), \$10 million recovery
- ***In re Novastar Fin. Sec. Litig.***, 4:04-cv-00330-ODS (W.D. Mo. Apr. 14, 2009), \$7.25 million recovery

The quality of Ms. Hopkin's work has been noted by courts. In ***In re Health Grades, Inc. Shareholder Litigation***, C.A. No. 5716-VCS (Del. Ch. 2010), where Ms. Hopkins was significantly involved with the briefing of the preliminary injunction motion, then Vice Chancellor Strine "applaud[ed]" Co-Lead Counsel for their preparation and the extraordinary high-quality of the briefing.

In addition to her legal practice, Ms. Hopkins is a Certified Public Accountant (1998 Massachusetts). Prior to becoming an attorney, Ms. Hopkins was a senior auditor with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, where she led audit engagements for large publicly held companies in a variety of industries.

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

Education

- Suffolk University Law School, J.D., *magna cum laude* (2003), where she served on the Journal for High Technology and as Vice Magister of the Phi Delta Phi International Honors Fraternity
- Bryant University, B.S.B.A., Accounting and Finance, *cum laude* (1995), where she was elected to the Beta Gamma Sigma Honor Society

Admissions

- Massachusetts (2003)
- United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts (2004)
- New York (2004)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2004)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2004)
- United States District Court for the District of Colorado (2004)
- United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit (2008)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2010)
- Connecticut (2013)

Publications

- "Cybercrime Convention: A Positive Beginning to a Long Road Ahead," 2 J. High Tech. L. 101 (2003)

In appointing the Firm Lead Counsel, the Honorable Gary Allen Feess noted our "significant prior experience in securities litigation and complex class actions."

Zaghian v. THQ, Inc., 2:12-cv-05227-GAF-JEM (C.D. Cal. Sept. 14, 2012)

Gregory Mark Nespole

Gregory Mark Nespole is a Partner of the Firm, having been previously a member of the management committee of one of the oldest firms in New York, as well as chair of that firm's investor protection practice. He specializes in complex class actions, derivative actions, and transactional litigation representing institutional investors such as public and labor pension funds, labor health and welfare benefit funds, and private institutions. Prior to practicing law, Mr. Nespole was a strategist on an arbitrage desk and an associate in a major international investment bank where he worked on structuring private placements and conducting transactional due diligence.

For over twenty years, Mr. Nespole has played a lead role in numerous shareholder securities fraud and merger and acquisition matters and has been involved in recovering multi-million-dollar settlements on behalf of shareholders, including:

- Served as co-chair of a Madoff Related Litigation Task Force that recovered over several hundred million dollars for wronged investors;

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

- Obtained a \$90 million award on behalf of a publicly listed company against a global bank arising out of fraudulently marketed auction rated securities;
- Successfully obtained multi-million-dollar securities litigation recoveries and/or corporate governance reforms from Cablevision, JP Morgan, American Pharmaceutical Partners, Sepracor, and MBIA, among many others.

Mr. Nespole's peers have elected him a "Super Lawyer" in the class action field annually since 2009. He is active in his community as a youth sports coach.

Education

- Brooklyn Law School, J.D. (1993)
- Bates College, B.A. (1989)

Admissions

- New York (1994)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (1994)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (1994)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (1994)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit (1994)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit (1994)
- United States District Court for the Northern District of New York (2018)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit (2019)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2020)

Daniel Tepper

Daniel Tepper is a Partner of the Firm with extensive experience in shareholder derivative suits, class actions and complex commercial litigation. Before he joined Levi & Korsinsky, Mr. Tepper was a partner in one of the oldest law firms in New York. He is an active member of the CPLR Committee of the New York State Bar Association and was an early member of its Electronic Discovery Committee. Mr. Tepper was selected as a New York "Super Lawyer" in 2016 – 2019.

Some of the notable matters where Mr. Tepper had a leading role include:

- ***Siegmund v. Bian***, Case No. 16-62506 (S.D. Fla.), achieving an estimated recovery of \$29.93 per share on behalf of a class of public shareholders of Linkwell Corp. who were forced to sell their stock at \$0.88 per share.
- ***In re Platinum-Beechwood Litigation***, Case No. 18-06658 (S.D.N.Y.), achieved dismissal on behalf of an individual investor in Platinum Partners-affiliated investment fund.
- ***Lakatamia Shipping Co. Ltd. v. Nobu Su***, Index No. 654860/2016 (Sup. Ct., N.Y. Co. 2016), achieved dismissal on suit attempting to domesticate a \$40 million UK judgment in New York State.
- ***Zelouf Int'l Corp. v. Zelouf***, 45 Misc.3d 1205(A) (Sup.Ct. N.Y. Co., 2014), representing the plaintiff in an appraisal proceeding triggered by freeze-out merger of closely-held corporation. Achieved a

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

\$10 million verdict after eleven day trial, with the Court rejecting a discount for lack of marketability.

- **Sacher v. Beacon Assocs. Mgmt. Corp.**, 114 A.D.3d 655 (2d Dep't 2014), affirming denial of defendants' motion to dismiss shareholder derivative suit by Madoff feeder fund against fund's auditor for accounting malpractice.
- **In re Belzberg**, 95 A.D.3d 713 (1st Dep't 2012), compelling a non-signatory to arbitrate brokerage agreement dispute arising under doctrine of direct benefits estoppel.
- **Estate of DeLeo**, Case No. 353758/A (Surrog. Ct., Nassau Co. 2011), achieving a full plaintiff's verdict after a seven day trial which restored a multi-million dollar family business to its rightful owner.
- **CMIA Partners Equity Ltd. v. O'Neill**, 2010 NY Slip Op 52068(U) (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Co., 2010). Representing the independent directors of a Cayman Islands investment fund, won a dismissal on the pleadings in the first New York state case examining shareholder derivative suits under Cayman Islands law.
- **Hecht v. Andover Assocs. Mgmt. Corp.**, 27 Misc 3d 1202(A) (Sup. Ct. Nassau Co., 2010), aff'd, 114 A.D.3d 638 (2d Dep't 2014). Participated in a \$213 million global settlement in the first Madoff-related feeder fund in the country to defeat a motion to dismiss.

Education

- New York University School of Law (JD, 2000)
- The University of Texas at Austin (BA with Honors, 1997), National Merit Scholar

Admissions

- Massachusetts (retired)
- New York (2002)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2004)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2010)
- United States District Court for the Western District of New York (2019)

Elizabeth K. Tripodi

Elizabeth K. Tripodi focuses her practice on shareholder M&A litigation, representing shareholders of public companies impacted by mergers, acquisitions, tender offers, and other change-in-control transactions. Ms. Tripodi has been named as a Washington, DC "Super Lawyer" and was selected as a "Rising Star" by Thomson Reuters for several consecutive years.

Ms. Tripodi has played a lead role in obtaining monetary recoveries for shareholders in M&A litigation:

- **In re Bluegreen Corp. S'holder Litig.**, Case No. 502011CA018111 (Circuit Ct. for Palm Beach Cty., FL), creation of a \$36.5 million common fund settlement in the wake of a majority shareholder buyout, representing a 25% increase in total consideration to the minority stockholders
- **In re Cybex International S'holder Litig.**, Index No. 653794/2012 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2014), recovery of \$1.8 million common fund, which represented an 8% increase in stockholder consideration in connection with management-led cash-out merger
- **In re Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. S'holder Litig.**, C.A. No. 7328-VCN (Del. Ch. 2012), where there was a

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

\$93 million (57%) increase in merger consideration

- **Minerva Group, LP v. Keane**, Index No. 800621/2013 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2013), settlement in which Defendants increased the price of an insider buyout from \$8.40 to \$9.25 per share

Ms. Tripodi has played a key role in obtaining injunctive relief while representing shareholders in connection with M&A litigation, including obtaining preliminary injunctions or other injunctive relief in the following actions:

- **In re Portec Rail Products, Inc. S'holder Litig.**, G.D. 10-3547 (Ct. Com. Pleas Pa. 2010)
- **In re Craftmade International, Inc. S'holder Litig.**, C.A. No. 6950-VCL (Del. Ch. 2011)
- **Dias v. Purches**, C.A. No. 7199-VCG (Del. Ch. 2012)
- **In re Complete Genomics, Inc. S'holder Litig.**, C.A. No. 7888-VCL (Del. Ch. 2012)
- **In re Integrated Silicon Solution, Inc. Stockholder Litig.**, Lead Case No. 115CV279142 (Sup. Ct. Santa Clara, CA 2015)

Prior to joining Levi & Korsinsky, Ms. Tripodi was a member of the litigation team that served as Lead Counsel in, and was responsible for, the successful prosecution of numerous class actions, including: *Rudolph v. UTStarcom* (stock option backdating litigation obtaining a \$9.5 million settlement); *Grecian v. Meade Instruments* (stock option backdating litigation obtaining a \$3.5 million settlement).

Education

- American University Washington College of Law, *cum laude* (2006), where she served as Editor in Chief of the Business Law Brief, was a member of the National Environmental Moot Court team, and interned for Environmental Enforcement Section at the Department of Justice
- Davidson College, B.A., Art History (2000)

Admissions

- Virginia (2006)
- District of Columbia (2008)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia (2006)
- United States District Court for the District of Columbia (2010)

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

Adam M. Apton

Adam M. Apton focuses his practice on investor protection. He represents institutional investors and high net worth individuals in securities fraud, corporate governance, and shareholder rights litigation. Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Apton defended corporate clients against complex mass tort, commercial, and products liability lawsuits. Thomson Reuters has selected Mr. Apton to the Super Lawyers Washington, DC "Rising Stars" list every year since 2016, a distinction given to only the top 2.5% of lawyers.

Mr. Apton's past representations and successes include:

- ***In re Tesla, Inc. Securities Litigation***, No. 3:18-cv-04865-EMC (N.D. Cal.) (lead counsel in class action representing Tesla investors who were harmed by Elon Musk's "funding secured" tweet from August 7, 2018)
- ***In re Navient Corp. Securities Litigation***, 17-8373 (RBK/AMD) (D.N.J.) (lead counsel in class action against leading provider of student loans for alleged false and misleading statements about compliance with consumer protection laws)
- ***In re Prothena Corporation Plc Securities Litigation***, 1:18-cv-06425-ALC (S.D.N.Y.) (\$15.75 million settlement fund against international drug company for false statements about development of lead biopharmaceutical product)
- ***Martin v. Altisource Residential Corporation, et al.***, 15-00024 (AET) (GWC) (D.V.I.) (\$15.5 million settlement fund against residential mortgage company for false statements about compliance with consumer regulations and corporate governance protocols)
- ***Levin v. Resource Capital Corp., et al.***, 1:15-cv-07081-LLS (S.D.N.Y.) (\$9.5 million settlement in class action over fraudulent statements about toxic mezzanine loan assets)
- ***Rux v. Meyer (Sirius XM Holdings Inc.)***, No. 11577 (Del. Ch.) (recovery of \$8.25 million against SiriusXM's Board of Directors for engaging in harmful related-party transactions with controlling stockholder, John. C. Malone and Liberty Media Corp.)

Education

- New York Law School, J.D., *cum laude* (2009), where he served as Articles Editor of the *New York Law School Law Review* and interned for the New York State Supreme Court, Commercial Division
- University of Minnesota, B.A., Entrepreneurial Management & Psychology, With Distinction (2006)

Admissions

- New York (2010)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2010)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2010)
- District of Columbia (2013)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (2015)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (2016)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2016)
- California (2017)

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

- United States District Court for the Northern District of California (2017)
- United States District Court for the Central District of California (2017)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of California (2017)

Publications

- "Pleading Section 11 Liability for Secondary Offerings" *American Bar Association: Practice Points* (Jan. 4, 2017)
- "Second Circuit Rules in Indiana Public Retirement System v. SAIC, Inc." *American Bar Association: Practice Points* (Apr. 4, 2016)
- "Second Circuit Applies Omnicare to Statements of Opinion in Sanofi" *American Bar Association: Practice Points* (Mar. 30, 2016)
- "Second Circuit Rules in Acticon AG v. China North" *American Bar Association: Practice Points* (Sept. 14, 2015)

Of Counsel

Andrew E. Lencyk

Andrew E. Lencyk is Of Counsel to the Firm. Prior to joining the Firm, Mr. Lencyk was a partner in an established boutique firm in New York specializing in securities litigation. He was graduated magna cum laude from Fordham College, New York, with a B.A. in Economics and History, where he was a member of the College's Honors Program, and was elected to Phi Beta Kappa. Mr. Lencyk received his J.D. from Fordham University School of Law, where he was a member of the Fordham Urban Law Journal. He was named to the 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019 Super Lawyers ®, New York Metro Edition.

Mr. Lencyk has co-authored the following articles for the Practising Law Institute's Accountants' Liability Handbooks:

- *Liability in Forecast and Projection Engagements: Impact of Luce v. Edelstein*
- *An Accountant's Duty to Disclose Internal Control Weaknesses*
- *Whistle-blowing: An Accountants' Duty to Disclose A Client's Illegal Acts*
- *Pleading Motions under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995*
- *Discovery Issues in Cases Involving Auditors* (co-authored and appeared in the 2002 PLI Handbook on Accountants' Liability After Enron.)

In addition, he co-authored the following article for the Association of the Bar of the City of New York, Corporate & Securities Law Updates:

- *Safe Harbor Provisions for Forward-Looking Statements* (co-authored and published by the Association of the Bar of the City of New York, Corporate & Securities Law Updates, Vol. II, May 12, 2000)

Cases in which Mr. Lencyk actively represented plaintiffs include:

- ***In re Community Psychiatric Centers Securities Litigation***, SA CV-91-533-AHS (Eex) (C.D. Cal.) and *McGann v. Ernst & Young*, SA CV-93-0814-AHS (Eex) (C.D. Cal.)(recovery of \$54.5 million against company and its outside auditors)

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

- ***In re Danskin Securities Litigation***, Master File No. 92 CIV. 8753 (JSM) (S.D.N.Y.);
- ***In re JWP Securities Litigation***, Master File No. 92 Civ. 5815 (WCC) (S.D.N.Y.) (class recovery of approximately \$36 million)
- ***In re Porta Systems Securities Litigation***, Master File No. 93 Civ. 1453 (TCP) (E.D.N.Y.);
- ***In re Leslie Fay Cos. Securities Litigation***, No. 92 Civ. 8036 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$35 million recovery)
- ***Berke v. Presstek, Inc.***, Civ. No. 96-347-M (MDL Docket No. 1140) (D.N.H.) (\$22 million recovery)
- ***In re Micro Focus Securities Litigation***, No. C-01-01352-SBA-WDB (N.D. Cal.)
- ***Dusek v. Mattel, Inc., et al.***, CV99-10864 MRP (C.D. Cal.) (\$122 million global settlement)
- ***In re Sonus Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation-II***, No. 06-CV-10040 (MLW) (D. Mass.)
- ***In re AIG ERISA Litigation***, No. 04 Civ. 9387 (JES) (S.D.N.Y.) (\$24.2 million recovery)
- ***In re Mutual Funds Investment Litigation***, MDL No. 1586 (D. Md.)
- ***In re Alger, Columbia, Janus, MFS, One Group, Putnam, Allianz Dresdner***, MDL No. 15863-JFM - Allianz Dresdner subtrack (D. Md.)
- ***In re Alliance, Franklin/Templeton, Bank of America/Nations Funds and Pilgrim Baxter***, MDL No. 15862-AMD – Franklin/Templeton subtrack (D. Md.)
- ***In re AIG ERISA Litigation II***, No. 08 Civ. 5722 (LTS) (S.D.N.Y.) (\$40 million recovery); and
- ***Flynn v. Sientra, Inc.***, CV-15-07548 SJO (RAOX) (C.D. Cal.) (\$10.9 million recovery) (co-lead counsel) Court decisions in which Mr. Lencyk played an active role on behalf of plaintiffs include:
 - ***Pub. Empls' Ref. Sys. of Miss. v. TreeHouse Foods***, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 22717 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 12, 2018) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss in its entirety)
 - ***Flynn v. Sientra, Inc.***, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 83409 (C.D. Cal. June 9, 2016) (denying in substantial part defendants' motions to dismiss Section 10(b), Section 11 and 12(b)(2) claims), *motion for reconsideration denied*, slip op. (C.D. Cal. Aug 12, 2016)
 - ***In re Principal U.S. Property Account ERISA Litigation***, 274 F.R.D. 649 (S.D. Iowa 2011) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss)
 - ***In re AIG ERISA Litigation II***, No. 08 Civ. 5722(LTS), 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 35717 (S.D.N.Y. May 31, 2011) (denying in substantial part defendants' motions to dismiss), *renewed motion to dismiss denied*, slip op. (S.D.N.Y. June 26, 2014)
 - ***In re Mutual Funds Investment Litigation***, 384 F. Supp. 2d 845 (D. Md. 2005) (denying in substantial part defendants' motions to dismiss), *In re Alger, Columbia, Janus, MFS, One Group, Putnam, Allianz Dresdner*, MDL No. 15863-JFM - Allianz Dresdner subtrack (D. Md. Nov. 3, 2005) (denying in substantial part defendants' motions to dismiss), and *In re Alliance, Franklin/Templeton, Bank of America/Nations Funds and Pilgrim Baxter*, MDL No. 15862-AMD – Franklin/Templeton subtrack (D. Md. June 27, 2008) (same)
 - ***In re AIG ERISA Litigation***, No. 04 Civ. 9387 (JES) (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 12, 2006) (denying defendants' motions to dismiss in their entirety)
 - ***Dusek v. Mattel, Inc., et al.***, CV99-10864 MRP (C.D. Cal. Dec. 17, 2001) (denying defendants' motions to dismiss Section 14(a) complaint in their entirety)

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

- ***In re Micro Focus Sec. Litig.***, Case No. C-00-20055 SW (N.D. Cal. Dec. 20, 2000) (denying motion to dismiss Section 11 complaint);
- ***Zuckerman v. FoxMeyer Health Corp.***, 4 F. Supp.2d 618 (N.D. Tex. 1998) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss in its entirety in one of the first cases decided in the Fifth Circuit under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995)
- ***In re U.S. Liquids Securities Litigation***, Master File No. H-99-2785 (S.D. Tex. Jan. 23, 2001) (denying motion to dismiss Section 11 claims)
- ***Sands Point Partners, L.P., et al. v. Pediatrix Medical Group, Inc., et al.***, Case No. 99-6181-CIV-Zloch (S.D. Fla. June 6, 2000) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss in its entirety)
- ***Berke v. Presstek, Inc.***, Civ. No. 96-347-M (MDL Docket No. 1140) (D.N.H. Mar. 30, 1999) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss)
- ***Chalverus v. Pegasystems, Inc.***, 59 F. Supp. 2d 226 (D. Mass. 1999) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss);
- ***Danis v. USN Communications, Inc.***, 73 F. Supp. 2d 923 (N.D. Ill. 1999) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss)
- ***In re JWP Inc. Securities Litigation***, 928 F. Supp. 1239 (S.D.N.Y. 1996) (denying defendants' motion for summary judgment);
- ***In re Danskin Securities Litigation***, Master File No. 92 CIV. 8753 (JSM) (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 23, 1994) (denying corporate and underwriter defendants' motions to dismiss in all respects)
- ***In re UCAR International Inc., Securities Litigation***, No. 3:98cv600 (JBA) (D. Conn.) (Case settled during pendency of defendants' motion to dismiss).

Education:

- Fordham University School of Law, J.D. (1992)
- Fordham College, B.A. *magna cum laude*, 1988)

Admissions

- New York (1993)
- Connecticut (1992)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2004)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2004)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (2015)

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

Associates

Stephanie A. Bartone

Stephanie A. Bartone practices in all areas of the firm, with a focus on securities fraud litigation. Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Bartone worked for the Connecticut Judicial System where she assisted state court judges in civil and family matters. Ms. Bartone also previously worked for a firm specializing in civil litigation and criminal defense at the state and federal level. While attending The University of Connecticut School of Law, Ms. Bartone was the Symposium Editor of the *Connecticut Law Review*.

Education

- The University of Connecticut School of Law, J.D. (2012)
- The University of New Hampshire, B.A. *summa cum laude* (2008) Psychology and Justice Studies

Admissions

- Connecticut (2012)
- Massachusetts (2012)
- United States District Court for the District of Colorado (2013)
- United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (2015)
- United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts (2016)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2020)

Jordan A. Cafritz

Jordan Cafritz is an Associate with the Firm's Washington, D.C. office. While attending law school at American University he was an active member of the American University Business Law Review and worked as a Rule 16 attorney in the Criminal Justice Defense Clinic. After graduating from law school, Mr. Cafritz clerked for the Honorable Paul W. Grimm in the U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland.

Education

- American University Washington College of Law, J.D. (2014)
- University of Wisconsin-Madison, B.A., Economics & History (2010)

Admissions

- Maryland (2014)
- District of Columbia (2018)

“I think you’ve done a superb job and I really appreciate the way this case was handled.”

The Honorable Ronald B. Rubin in *Teoh v. Ferrantino*, C.A. No. 356627
(Cir. Ct. for Montgomery Cnty., MD 2012)

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

Michael Keating

Michael Keating is an Associate with the Firm's Stamford office focusing on federal securities litigation. Mr. Keating previously interned with the Division of Enforcement for the Securities and Exchange Commission while attending law school.

Education:

- University of Connecticut School of Law, J.D. (2019)
- University of Connecticut, B.A Psychology (2014)

Admissions:

- Connecticut
-

Vice Chancellor Sam Glasscock, III said “it’s always a pleasure to have counsel who are articulate and exuberant...” and referred to our approach to merger litigation as “wholesome” and “a model of... plaintiffs’ litigation in the merger arena.”

Ocieczanek v. Thomas Properties Group, C.A. No. 9029-VCG (Del. Ch. May 15, 2014)

Alexander Krot

Education

- The George Washington University, B.B.A., Finance and International Business (2003)
- American University Washington College of Law, J.D. (2010)
- Georgetown University Law Center, LL.M., Securities and Financial Regulation, With Distinction (2011)
- American University, Kogod School of Business, M.B.A. (2012)

Admissions

- Maryland (2011)
 - District of Columbia (2014)
 - United States District Court for the District of Colorado (2015)
 - United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit (2016)
 - United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin (2017)
 - United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2018)
-

Then Vice Chancellor Leo E. Strine, Jr. praised the Firms’ “exceedingly measured and logical” argument

Forgo v. Health Grades, Inc., C.A. No. 5716-VCS (Del. Ch. Sept. 3, 2010)

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

Courtney E. Maccarone

Courtney E. Maccarone focuses her practice on prosecuting consumer class actions. Prior to joining Levi & Korsinsky, Ms. Maccarone was an associate at a boutique firm in New York specializing in class action litigation. While attending Brooklyn Law School, Ms. Maccarone served as the Executive Symposium Editor of the *Brooklyn Journal of International Law* and was a member of the Moot Court Honor Society. Her note, "Crossing Borders: A TRIPS-Like Treaty on Quarantines and Human Rights" was published in the Spring 2011 edition of the *Brooklyn Journal of International Law*.

Ms. Maccarone also gained experience in law school as an intern to the Honorable Martin Glenn of the Southern District of New York Bankruptcy Court and as a law clerk at a New York City-based class action firm. Ms. Maccarone has been recognized as a Super Lawyer "Rising Star" for the New York Metro area for the past six consecutive years.

Education

- Brooklyn Law School, J.D., *magna cum laude* (2011), where she served as the Executive Symposium Editor of the *Brooklyn Journal of International Law* and was a member of the Moot Court Honor Society
- New York University, B.A., *magna cum laude* (2008)

Admissions

- New Jersey (2011)
- New York (2012)
- United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (2012)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2012)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2012)

Publications

- "Crossing Borders: A TRIPS-Like Treaty on Quarantines and Human Rights," published in the Spring 2011 edition of the *Brooklyn Journal of International Law*

Rosanne L. Mah

Rosanne L. Mah is an Associate in Levi & Korsinsky, LLP's San Francisco office. She represents consumers in complex class action litigation involving deceptive or misleading practices, false advertising, and data/privacy issues.

Education

- University of San Francisco, School of Law, J.D. (2005)
- University of California at Santa Cruz, B.A., Politics and Environmental Studies (1995)

Admissions

- United States District Court for the Northern District of California (2007)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of California (2007)
- United States District Court for the Central District of California (2017)

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

Adam C. McCall

Adam C. McCall is an Associate with the Firm. Prior to joining Levi & Korsinsky, Mr. McCall was a Summer Analyst at Moelis & Company and an intern at Fortress Investment Group. While attending the Georgetown University Law Center, he was an extern at the Securities and Exchange Commission's Division of Corporate Finance.

Education

- Georgetown University Law Center, LL.M., Securities and Financial Regulation (2015)
- California Western School of Law, J.D., *cum laude* (2013)
- Santa Clara University, Certificate of Advanced Accounting Proficiency (2010)
- University of Southern California, B.A., Economics (2008)

Admissions

- California (2014)
- United States District Court for the Central District of California (2015)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of California (2015)
- United States District Court for the Northern District of California (2015)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of California (2015)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (2016)
- District of Columbia (2017)

Melissa Muller

Melissa Muller is an Associate with the Firm's New York Office focusing on federal securities litigation. Ms. Muller previously worked as a paralegal for the New York office while attending law school.

Education

- New York Law School, J.D., Dean's Scholar Award, member of the Dean's Leadership Council (2018)
- John Jay College of Criminal Justice, B.A. (2013), *magna cum laude*

Admissions

- New York (2019)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2020)

Zachary Ness

Mr. Ness is an Associate with the Firm in the Washington, D.C. office, where he focuses his practice on financial litigation, including class action litigation relating to corporate governance, securities, cryptocurrencies, and initial coin offerings. During law school, he was an honors intern for the Trading and Markets Division of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, where he practiced in the offices of Trading Practices and Market Supervision. In addition, he was a judicial intern for the Superior Court of the District of Columbia, and a research assistant tasked with examining modern constitutional privacy law issues.

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

Education

- Georgetown University Law Center, J.D. (2019)
- Rutgers University (New Brunswick) (2016), *summa cum laude*

Admissions

- District of Columbia (2020)

Publications

- "A Fighting Chance: Ensuring Choice of Representation," 31 GEO. J. LEGAL ETHICS 781 (2018)

Gregory M. Potrepka

Gregory M. Potrepka is an Associate in Levi & Korsinsky's Connecticut office. Mr. Potrepka is an experienced lawyer having litigated cases in State, Federal, and Tribal courts, at both the trial and appellate levels. While in law school, Mr. Potrepka clerked in the Civil Division of the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia.

Education

- University of Connecticut School of Law, J.D. (2015)
- University of Connecticut Department of Public Policy, M.P.A. (2015)
- University of Connecticut, B.A., Political Science (2010)

Admissions

- Connecticut (2015)
- Mashantucket Pequot Tribal Court (2015)
- United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (2016)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2018)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2018)

Andrew Rocco

Andrew Rocco is an Associate with the Firm in the Connecticut office. As a law student, he interned for the Office of the Attorney General for the State of Connecticut in the Employment Rights Department and served as the Editor-in-Chief of the Quinnipiac Probate Law Journal.

Education

- Quinnipiac University School of Law, J.D., *summa cum laude* (2017)
- Champlain College, B.A., Legal Studies, *summa cum laude* (2014)

Admissions

- Connecticut

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

NEW YORK | WASHINGTON, D.C. | CONNECTICUT | CALIFORNIA

Brian Stewart

Brian Stewart is an Associate with the Firm practicing in the Washington, D.C. office. Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Stewart was an associate at a small litigation firm in Washington D.C. and a regulatory analyst at the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA). During law school, he interned for the Enforcement Divisions of the SEC and CFPB.

Education

- American University Washington College of Law, J.D. (2012)
- University of Washington, B.S., Economics and Mathematics (2008)

Admissions

- Maryland (2012)
- District of Columbia (2014)

Sebastian Tornatore

Sebastian Tornatore is an Associate in the Connecticut office where he focuses on representing shareholders in federal securities actions. While at the University of Connecticut School of Law, Mr. Tornatore served as an Executive Editor of the *Connecticut Law Review* and as a member of the Connecticut Moot Court Board. Prior to joining the Firm, Mr. Tornatore worked for the Connecticut Judicial System, where he gained significant experience assisting various state judges.

Education

- University of Connecticut School of Law, J.D. (2012)
- Boston College, B.A. (2008)

Admissions

- Massachusetts (2012)
- Connecticut (2012)
- New York (2014)
- United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (2014)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2016)
- United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts (2016)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2018)